

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 49, 330-341 (1977)

On Simple Components of Cocommutative Hopf Algebras

MICHITAKA HIKARI

*Department of Mathematics, Tokai University, Hiratsuka Kanagawa, Japan***Communicated by I. N. Herstein*

Received February 20, 1976

Let k be a field. Let K be a finite cyclic extension of k with Galois group $\pi = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and let $n = [K : k]$. Given $\alpha \in k - \{0\}$ we define

$$\alpha_{\sigma^i, \sigma^j} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i + j < n \\ \alpha & \text{when } i + j \geq n. \end{cases}$$

The crossed product of K and π by the factor set $\{\alpha_{\sigma^i, \sigma^j}\}_{0 \leq i, j < n}$ is called a cyclic algebra over k and is denoted by (K, α) .

The purpose of this paper is to prove

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Then any cyclic k -algebra is realizable as a simple component of a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra.*

In particular, if k is an algebraic number field, then, by the famous Noether-Brauer-Hassc theorem (e.g., [1]), any finite-dimensional central simple k -algebra is a cyclic k -algebra. Hence from Proposition 2.1 we get the following remarkable

THEOREM. *Let k be an algebraic number field. Then any finite-dimensional central simple k -algebra is realizable as a simple component of a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra.*

However, the following problem is kept open.

Problem. Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. Is a finite-dimensional central simple k -algebra realizable as a simple component of a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra?

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let H be a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra. It follows immediately from Kostant's theorem (e.g., [2]) that there exist a finite Galois extension K of k and a finite group G such that $H \otimes_k K \cong KG$ as K -Hopf algebras. (More generally, in [4] Larson showed that any finite-dimensional involutory k -Hopf algebra is semisimple. This

* Present address: Department of Mathematics, Keio University, Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan.

means that H and H^* are semisimple.) Therefore a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra can be obtained from a group algebra by Galois descent. It should be noted (e.g., [3]) that there exists a finite-dimensional central simple algebra over an algebraic number field k which is not realizable as a simple component of a group algebra over k .

Now let π, G be finite groups and let $\Psi'(\pi, G) = \{\psi \mid \psi \text{ is a group homomorphism of } \pi \text{ to } \text{Aut } G\}$. For $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \Psi'(\pi, G)$ we define $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$ if there exists an element τ of $\text{Aut } G$ such that $\tau^{-1}\psi_1(\sigma)\tau = \psi_2(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in \pi$. Then this is obviously an equivalence relation in $\Psi'(\pi, G)$. We denote by $\Psi(\pi, G)$ the set of all equivalence classes in $\Psi'(\pi, G)$.

Further let k be a field and let K be a finite Galois extension of k with Galois group $\cong \pi$. Let $H'(K/k, G) = \{H \mid H \text{ is a } k\text{-Hopf algebra such that } H \otimes_k K \cong KG \text{ as } K\text{-Hopf algebras}\}$ and denote by $H(K/k, G)$ the set of all isomorphism types as k -Hopf algebras in $H'(K/k, G)$. For $\psi \in \Psi(\pi, G)$, $\sigma \in \pi$, we can regard $\psi(\sigma)$ as a k -automorphism of KG by

$$\psi(\sigma) \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \sigma(a_g) \psi(\sigma)g \quad \text{for } a_g \in K.$$

We define the map $\phi: \Psi(\pi, G) \rightarrow H'(K/k, G)$ by $\phi(\psi) = KG^{\psi(\pi)}$.

Another main result in this paper is

THEOREM 1.4. *The map $\phi: \Psi(\pi, G) \rightarrow H'(K/k, G)$ induces the bijection $\bar{\phi}: \Psi(\pi, G) \rightarrow H(K/k, G)$.*

In this paper we use the same notation and terminology as in [2].

1. GALOIS DESCENT

We begin with

PROPOSITION 1.1 (Kostant). *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let H be a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra. Then there exist a finite Galois extension K of k and a finite group G such that $H \otimes_k K \cong KG$ as K -Hopf algebras.*

Proof. Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Let $G = \{g \in H \otimes_k \bar{k} \mid (\Delta \otimes 1)(g) = g \otimes g\}$ and $L = \{l \in H \otimes_k \bar{k} \mid (\Delta \otimes 1)(l) = l \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes l\}$. Then G is a group and the elements of G are linearly independent over \bar{k} [2, (3.2.1)]. L can be regarded as a Lie algebra under $[l_1, l_2] = l_1 l_2 - l_2 l_1$. We denote by $U(L)$ the universal enveloping algebra of L . By Kostant's theorem [2, (8.1.5) and (13.0.1)] we have $H \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong U(L) \otimes_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}G$ as \bar{k} -vector spaces. If $L \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\bar{k}} U(L) = \infty$, which contradicts the assumption that $\dim_k H < \infty$. Hence $H \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong \bar{k}G$ as \bar{k} -vector spaces. Since $\bar{k}G$ is a sub-Hopf algebra of $H \otimes_k \bar{k}$, we have $H \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong \bar{k}G$ as \bar{k} -Hopf algebras. It is seen easily that $(\bar{k}G)^* \cong \bar{k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{k}$ as \bar{k} -algebras. Therefore H^* is a commutative semisimple algebra. Hence we have

$H^* \cong K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_t$ for some finite extensions K_i of k . Let K be a finite Galois extension of k containing all K_i , $i = 1, \dots, t$. Then $(H \otimes_k K)^* \cong H^* \otimes_k K \cong K \oplus \cdots \oplus K$ as K -algebra. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the orthogonal idempotents of $H^* \otimes_k K$. And let $\{g_i\}$ be the dual basis of $\{e_i\}$. Then we easily can check that $\Delta(g_i) = g_i \otimes g_i$. Hence we have $\{g_i\} = G$ and $H \otimes_k K \cong KG$ as K -Hopf algebras.

Remark. By using Larson's result [4, Theorem 4.3] we can prove this proposition more easily.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let k be a field, let K be a finite Galois extension of k , let $\pi := \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be the Galois group of K over k , and let π_0 be a subgroup of π . Let $\{\tau_0 (=1), \tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$ be a set of (left) representatives of π/π_0 in π and let $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_l\}$ be a k -basis of K^{π_0} . Then there exist $(l+1)^3$ elements α_{ij}^m , $0 \leq i, j, m \leq l$, of k such that*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq l} \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_s(t_i) \tau_t(t_j) = \tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st}, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq m, s, t \leq l.$$

Proof. Let $A = (\tau_i(t_j))_{i,j}$. Let A_{ij} be the $l \times l$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i th row and j th column and $\Delta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}$. Since $\tau(\Delta_{0i}/\det A) = \Delta_{0i}/\det A$ for all $\tau \in \pi_0$, we have $\Delta_{0i}/\det A \in K^{\pi_0}$. Then there exist $(l+1)^3$ elements α_{ij}^m in k satisfying $(\Delta_{0i}/\det A)t_m = \sum_{j=0}^l \alpha_{ij}^m t_j$. We also have $\tau_t(\Delta_{0i}/\det A) = \Delta_{ti}/\det A$ for all $0 \leq t \leq l$, and so $\sum_{j=0}^l \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_t(t_j) = (\Delta_{ti}/\det A) \tau_t(t_m)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq l$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=0}^l \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_t(t_j) \right)_{i,t} \\ &= (1/\det A) (\Delta_{ti} \tau_t(t_m))_{i,t} \\ &= (1/\det A) \left(\sum_{s=0}^l \Delta_{si} \tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st} \right)_{i,t} \\ &= (1/\det A)^t (\Delta_{ij})_{i,j} (\tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st})_{s,t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(\tau_s(t_i))_{s,i} (\sum_{j=0}^l \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_t(t_j))_{i,t} = (\tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st})_{s,t}$. This means that $\sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq l} \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_s(t_i) \tau_t(t_j) = \tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st}$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let k be a field, let K be a finite Galois extension of k , and let G be a finite group. Let $\pi := \text{Gal}(K/k)$. Then*

(1) $KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ is a k -Hopf algebra, and $KG^{\psi(\pi)} \otimes_k K \cong KG$ as K -Hopf algebras.

(2) $KG^{\psi_1(\pi)} \cong KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$ as k -Hopf algebras if and only if $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \Psi(\pi, G)$. Let $G = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ be the decomposition of G into $\psi(\pi)$ -orbits D_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. Given $g_i \in D_i$ we put $\pi_i = \{\sigma \in \pi \mid \psi(\sigma)(g_i) = g_i\}$.

Let $\{\tau_0^{(i)} (=1), \tau_1^{(i)}, \dots, \tau_{l_i}^{(i)}\}$ be a set of representatives of $\pi_i \backslash \pi_i$ in π . Then we have $D_i = \{\psi(\tau)(g_i) \mid \tau \in \pi_i\} = \{\psi(\tau_0^{(i)})(g_i) (=g_i), \psi(\tau_1^{(i)})(g_i), \dots, \psi(\tau_{l_i}^{(i)})(g_i)\}$. Since D_i is a $\psi(\pi)$ -orbit, for $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ we have

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g g = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{l_i} \tau_j^{(i)}(a_{g_i}) \psi(\tau_j^{(i)})(g_i),$$

and therefore $KG^{\psi(\pi)} = KD_1^{\psi(\pi)} \oplus \dots \oplus KD_m^{\psi(\pi)}$ as k -vector spaces and $KD_i^{\psi(\pi)} = \{\sum_{j=0}^{l_i} \tau_j^{(i)}(a) \psi(\tau_j^{(i)})(g_i) \mid a \in K^{\pi_i}\}$, because $\tau(a_{g_i}) \psi(\tau)(g_i) = \tau(a_{g_i}) g_i$ for all $\tau \in \pi_i$.

Let S be the antipode of KG . For $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in KG^{\psi(\pi)}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1} \\ & S\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right) \\ &= S\left(\sum_{g \in G} \tau(a_g) \psi(\tau)(g)\right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \tau(a_g) (\psi(\tau)(g))^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \tau(a_g) \psi(\tau)(g^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and so $S(KG^{\psi(\pi)}) = KG^{\psi(\pi)}$.

Next we will prove that $\Delta(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}) \subseteq KD_i^{\psi(\pi)} \otimes_k KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$, where Δ is the comultiplication of KG . Let $\{t_0, \dots, t_{l_i}\}$ be a k -basis of K^{π_i} . If we put

$$a_k = \sum_{j=0}^{l_i} \tau_j^{(i)}(t_k) \psi(\tau_j^{(i)})(g_i),$$

$k = 0, \dots, l_i$, then $\{a_0, \dots, a_{l_i}\}$ is a k -basis of $KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$, and by (1.2) we can find $(l_i + 1)^3$ elements α_{ij}^m in k such that $\sum_{0 \leq u, v \leq l_i} \alpha_{uv}^m \tau_s^{(i)}(t_u) \tau_t^{(i)}(t_v) = \tau_s^{(i)}(t_m) \delta_{st}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq u, v \leq l_i} \alpha_{uv}^m a_u \otimes a_v \\ &= \sum_{u, v} \alpha_{uv}^m \sum_{s, t} (\tau_s^{(i)}(t_u) \psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i) \otimes \tau_t^{(i)}(t_v) \psi(\tau_t^{(i)})(g_i)) \\ &= \sum_{s, t} \sum_{u, v} \alpha_{uv}^m \tau_s^{(i)}(t_u) \tau_t^{(i)}(t_v) (\psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i) \otimes \psi(\tau_t^{(i)})(g_i)) \\ &= \sum_{s, t} \tau_s^{(i)}(t_m) \delta_{st} (\psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i) \otimes \psi(\tau_t^{(i)})(g_i)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_s \tau_s^{(i)}(t_m) (\psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i) \otimes \psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i)) \\
&= \Delta \left(\sum_s \tau_s^{(i)}(t_m) \psi(\tau_s^{(i)})(g_i) \right) \\
&= \Delta(a_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Delta(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}) \subseteq KD_i^{\psi(\pi)} \otimes_k KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$. Thus $KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ is a k -Hopf algebra satisfying $KG^{\psi(\pi)} \otimes_k K \cong KG$ as a K -Hopf algebra.

We assume that $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$. Let τ be an element of $\text{Aut } G$ such that $\tau^{-1}\psi_1(\sigma)\tau = \psi_2(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \pi$. We regard τ as a K -Hopf algebra automorphism of KG by $\tau(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \tau(g)$ for $a_g \in K$. Let $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$. Then for all $\sigma \in \pi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_g \sigma(a_g) \psi_1(\sigma) \tau(g) \\
&= \sum_g \sigma(a_g) \tau(\tau^{-1}\psi_1(\sigma) \tau(g)) \\
&= \sum_g \sigma(a_g) \tau(\psi_2(\sigma)(g)) \\
&= \tau \left(\sum_g \sigma(a_g) \psi_2(\sigma)(g) \right) \\
&= \tau \left(\sum_g a_g g \right) \\
&= \sum_g a_g \tau(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore τ is a map of $KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$ into $KG^{\psi_1(\pi)}$. Since τ is a bijection, we have $KG^{\psi_1(\pi)} \cong KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$ as k -Hopf algebras.

Conversely suppose that $KG^{\psi_1(\pi)} \cong KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$ as k -Hopf algebras and denote this isomorphism by ϕ . Let $\alpha_i; KG^{\psi_i(\pi)} \otimes_k K \xrightarrow{\sim} KG$, $i = 1, 2$, be K -Hopf algebra isomorphisms which can be found by (1), and put $\eta = \alpha_2(\phi \otimes 1)\alpha_1^{-1}$. Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \phi \otimes 1$ are K -Hopf algebra maps, η is a K -Hopf algebra map. Therefore $\Delta(\eta(g)) = (\eta \otimes \eta)(\Delta(g)) = \eta(g) \otimes \eta(g)$ for all $g \in G$, which implies that η is an automorphism of G . Since α_2 is an isomorphism, for any $g \in G$ we can find elements $h_i \in KG^{\psi_2(\pi)}$, $l_i \in K$ such that $\sum_i \alpha_2(h_i \otimes l_i) = g$. From this we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta\psi_1(\sigma) \eta^{-1}(g) \\
&= \sum_i \eta\psi_1(\sigma) \alpha_1(\phi^{-1} \otimes 1)(h_i \otimes l_i) \\
&= \sum_i \eta\psi_1(\sigma) \alpha_1(\phi^{-1}(h_i) \otimes l_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_i \eta \psi_1(\sigma)(\phi^{-1}(h_i)l_i) = \sum_i \eta \phi^{-1}(h_i) \sigma(l_i) \\
&= \sum_i \eta \alpha_1(\phi^{-1}(h_i) \otimes \sigma(l_i)) = \sum_i \alpha_2(h_i \otimes \sigma(l_i)) \\
&= \psi_2(\sigma) \left(\sum_i \alpha_2(h_i \otimes l_i) \right) = \psi_2(\sigma)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2).

We now have

THEOREM 1.4. *Let k be a field, let K be a finite Galois extension of k , and let G be a finite group. Let $\pi = \text{Gal}(K/k)$. Then the map $\phi: \Psi'(\pi, G) \rightarrow H'(K/k, G)$ defined by $\phi(\psi) = KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ induces the bijection $\bar{\phi}: \Psi(\pi, G) \rightarrow H(K/k, G)$.*

Proof. By (1.3) $\bar{\phi}$ is well defined and injective. Hence it suffices to show that $\bar{\phi}$ is surjective. Let $H \in H'(K/k, G)$. Let $\alpha: H \otimes_k K \simeq KG$ as K -Hopf algebras and $\psi(\sigma) = \alpha(1 \otimes \sigma)\alpha^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in \pi = \text{Gal}(K/k)$. Then we see easily that $\psi(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \psi(\sigma_1)\psi(\sigma_2)$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \pi$ and that $\alpha(H) = KG^{\psi(\pi)}$. We denote by Δ_H the comultiplication of H . Then $\Delta\alpha = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Delta_H \otimes 1)$, because α is a K -Hopf algebra map. By using this we have, for all $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\psi(\sigma)(g)) &= \Delta(\alpha(1 \otimes \sigma)\alpha^{-1}(g)) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Delta_H \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \sigma)\alpha^{-1}(g) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \alpha)((1 \otimes \sigma) \otimes (1 \otimes \sigma))(\Delta_H \otimes 1)\alpha^{-1}(g) \\
&= (\alpha \otimes \alpha)((1 \otimes \sigma) \otimes (1 \otimes \sigma))(\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1})\Delta(g) \\
&= (\alpha(1 \otimes \sigma)\alpha^{-1}) \otimes (\alpha(1 \otimes \sigma)\alpha^{-1})(g \otimes g) \\
&= \psi(\sigma)(g) \otimes \psi(\sigma)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $G = \{g \in KG \mid \Delta(g) = g \otimes g\}$, we have $\psi(\sigma)(g) \in G$. The fact that $\alpha, 1 \otimes \sigma$ are algebra maps implies that $\psi(\sigma)$ is an automorphism of G . Therefore $\psi \in \Psi'(\pi, G)$ and thus the proof of the theorem is completed.

The coalgebra structure of the Hopf algebra $KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ can be determined in

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let k be a field, let K be a finite Galois extension of k , and let $\pi = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be the Galois group of K over k . Let G be a finite group, let ψ be a group homomorphism of π to $\text{Aut } G$, and let $G = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_n$ be the decomposition of G into $\psi(\pi)$ -orbits D_i . Then*

$$(KG^{\psi(\pi)})^* = (KD_1^{\psi(\pi)})^* \oplus \cdots \oplus (KD_n^{\psi(\pi)})^*$$

as k -algebras. Further let g_i be an element of D_i and $\pi_i = \{\tau \in \pi \mid \psi(\tau)(g_i) = g_i\}$. Then $(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \cong K^{\pi_i}$ as k -algebras.

Proof. In the proof of (1.3), it is shown that $KG^{\psi(\pi)} = \sum^{\oplus} KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$ as k -vector spaces and $\Delta(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}) \subseteq KD_i^{\psi(\pi)} \otimes KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$. From this we see that $(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^*$ is a k -algebra and $(KG^{\psi(\pi)})^* = \sum^{\oplus} (KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^*$ as k -algebras. Hence we only need to prove that $(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \cong K^{\pi_i}$ as k -algebras. Let $\{\tau_0 (=1), \tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$ be a set of representatives of π/π_i in π and $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_l\}$ a k -basis of K^{π_i} . In the proof of (1.3) we get that $\{a_k = \sum_j \tau_j(t_k) \psi(\tau_j)(g_i)\}$ is a k -basis of $KD_i^{\psi(\pi)}$. We define a k -linear map $\mu: KD_i^{\psi(\pi)} \rightarrow K^{\pi_i}$ by $\mu(a_k) = t_k$ and a multiplication \circ on $(K^{\pi_i})^*$ is induced to make the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \otimes_k (KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* & \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} & (KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \\ \uparrow \mu^* \otimes \mu^* & & \uparrow \mu^* \\ (K^{\pi_i})^* \otimes_k (K^{\pi_i})^* & \xrightarrow{\circ} & (K^{\pi_i})^* \end{array}$$

As usual $\text{tr} = \text{tr}_{K^{\pi_i}/k}$ denotes the trace from K^{π_i} to k . For $a \in K^{\pi_i}$ we define a k -linear map $\text{Tr}(a): K^{\pi_i} \rightarrow k$ by $\text{Tr}(a)(b) = \text{tr}(ab)$ for all $b \in K^{\pi_i}$. Since $(K^{\pi_i})^* = \{\text{Tr}(a) \mid a \in K^{\pi_i}\}$, we can define a k -linear map $\nu: (K^{\pi_i})^* \rightarrow K^{\pi_i}$ by $\nu(\text{Tr}(a)) = a$. Let $\eta = \nu\mu^{*-1}$. Now we prove that the k -linear map

$$\eta: (KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \rightarrow K^{\pi_i}$$

is an isomorphism. By (1.2) we can find $(l+1)^3$ elements α_{ij}^m of k such that $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}^m \tau_s(t_i) \tau_t(t_j) = \tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st}$. It has been shown, in the proof of (1.3), that $\Delta(a_m) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}^m a_i \otimes a_j$. Then for $a, b \in K^{\pi_i}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Tr}(a) \circ \text{Tr}(b))(t_m) \\ &= (\mu^*)^{-1} \Delta^*(\mu^* \otimes \mu^*)(\text{Tr}(a) \otimes \text{Tr}(b))(t_m) \\ &= \Delta^*(\mu^* \otimes \mu^*)(\text{Tr}(a) \otimes \text{Tr}(b))(a_m) \\ &= (\text{Tr}(a) \otimes \text{Tr}(b))(\mu \otimes \mu) \left(\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}^m a_i \otimes a_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}^m \text{tr}(at_i) \text{tr}(bt_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij}^m \sum_{s,t} \tau_s(a) \tau_s(t_i) \tau_t(b) \tau_t(t_j) \\ &= \sum_{s,t} \tau_s(a) \tau_t(b) \tau_s(t_m) \delta_{st} \\ &= \sum_s \tau_s(ab) \tau_s(t_m) \\ &= \text{tr}(abt_m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{Tr}(a) \circ \text{Tr}(b) = \text{Tr}(ab)$, which implies that $(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \simeq K^{\pi_i}$ as k -algebras.

2. SIMPLE COMPONENTS

In this section we use the following notations for $n \times n$ matrices:

I : the identity matrix;

J : the matrix whose ij -component $\begin{cases} = 1 & i - j \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ = 0 & i - j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}, \end{cases}$

$\text{diag}\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$: the diagonal matrix whose ii -component is a_i .

Our main result in this section is given in

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let K be a finite cyclic Galois extension of k . Then any cyclic k -algebra (K, α) , $\alpha \in k - \{0\}$, is realizable as a simple component of a finite-dimensional cocommutative k -Hopf algebra.*

Proof. Let $n = [K : k]$. Let β be an n th root of α^{-1} and let ϵ be a primitive n th root of unity. Write $\pi = \text{Gal}(K(\beta, \epsilon)/k)$, $\pi_0 = \text{Gal}(k(\beta, \epsilon)/k)$, $\pi_1 = \text{Gal}(K(\beta, \epsilon)/k(\beta, \epsilon))$, $\pi_2 = \text{Gal}(K(\beta, \epsilon)/K)$ and put $\text{Gal}(K/k) = \langle u \rangle$. We denote by $\beta\epsilon_1 (= \beta)$, $\beta\epsilon_2, \dots, \beta\epsilon_n$ all distinct elements of $\{\sigma(\beta) \mid \sigma \in \pi_0\}$. Since $\sigma(\beta)$ is an n th root of α^{-1} , ϵ_i is an n th root of unity. To prove the theorem, it suffices to construct a metabelian group G , an irreducible representation ρ of G over $K(\beta, \epsilon)$ of degree n and a group homomorphism ψ of π to $\text{Aut } G$ such that $\psi(\sigma)(e) = e$ for all $\sigma \in \pi$ and $(K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)} \cong (K, \alpha)$ as a k -algebra, where e is the central idempotent of $K(\beta, \epsilon)G$ corresponding to ρ . In fact, if we can find such G , ρ , and ψ , then by (1.3) $(K(\beta, \epsilon)G)^{\psi(\pi)}$ is a k -Hopf algebra and (K, α) is a simple component of $(K(\beta, \epsilon)G)^{\psi(\pi)}$.

We denote by G the metabelian group generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_h$ with relations $x_i^2 = 1$, $y_s^n = 1$, $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $y_s y_t = y_t y_s$, $y_s^{-1} x_1 y_s = x_2, \dots, y_s^{-1} x_n y_s = x_1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and all $1 \leq s, t \leq h$. We define a $K(\beta, \epsilon)$ -algebra homomorphism ρ of $K(\beta, \epsilon)G$ to the full matrix algebra $M_n(K(\beta, \epsilon))$ of degree n by

$$\rho(x_i) = \text{diag}\{1, \dots, 1, \overset{i}{-1}, 1, \dots, 1\}$$

and

$$\rho(y_i) = \epsilon_i^{-1} ({}^t J).$$

Then ρ is an epimorphism, and therefore it is an irreducible representation of G .

For $\sigma \in \pi$ we define a permutation $\psi(\sigma)$ of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_h\}$ as follows:

(1) If $\sigma\pi_2 = u^l$, then $\psi(\sigma)(x_i) = x_{i+l}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where subscripts are added mod n .

(2) If $\sigma(\beta\epsilon_i) = \beta\epsilon_j$, then $\psi(\sigma)(y_i) = y_j$.

By the definition of G $\psi(\sigma)$ can be regarded as an automorphism of G . So we get a group homomorphism $\psi: \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut } G$.

Let e be the central idempotent of $K(\beta, \epsilon)G$ corresponding to ρ . Let χ be the character of ρ . Then it is well known that $e = \chi(1) |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g$. If we can show

$$\sigma\chi = \chi\psi(\sigma)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\sigma)(e) &= \psi(\sigma)(\chi(1) |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g) \\ &= \chi(1) |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \sigma(\chi(g^{-1})) \psi(\sigma)(g) \\ &= \chi(1) |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi((\psi(\sigma)(g))^{-1}) \psi(\sigma)(g) \\ &= \chi(1) |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to show that $\psi(\sigma)(e) = e$, we only need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\chi(x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2} \cdots y_h^{f_h})) \\ = \chi(\psi(\sigma)(x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2} \cdots y_h^{f_h})). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ρ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2} \cdots y_h^{f_h}) \\ = \text{Tr}(\text{diag}\{(-1)^{e_1}, (-1)^{e_2}, \dots, (-1)^{e_n}\} ({}^t J)^{f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_h} \epsilon_1^{-f_1} \epsilon_2^{-f_2} \cdots \epsilon_h^{-f_h}) \\ = \epsilon_1^{-f_1} \epsilon_2^{-f_2} \cdots \epsilon_h^{-f_h} ((-1)^{e_1} + \cdots + (-1)^{e_n}) \quad \text{when } n \mid f_1 + \cdots + f_h, \\ = 0 \quad \text{when } n \nmid f_1 + \cdots + f_h. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_{i_s} = \psi(\sigma)(x_s)$ and $y_{j_s} = \psi(\sigma)(y_s)$. Then, in the case where $n \mid f_1 + \cdots + f_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{-(f_1 + \cdots + f_h)} \epsilon_{j_1}^{-f_1} \cdots \epsilon_{j_h}^{-f_h} \\ = (\beta \epsilon_{j_1})^{-f_1} \cdots (\beta \epsilon_{j_h})^{-f_h} \\ = (\sigma(\beta \epsilon_1))^{-f_1} \cdots (\sigma(\beta \epsilon_h))^{-f_h} \\ = \sigma(\beta^{-(f_1 + \cdots + f_h)}) (\sigma(\epsilon_1))^{-f_1} \cdots (\sigma(\epsilon_h))^{-f_h} \\ = \beta^{-(f_1 + \cdots + f_h)} (\sigma(\epsilon_1))^{-f_1} \cdots (\sigma(\epsilon_h))^{-f_h} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\epsilon_{j_1}^{-f_1} \cdots \epsilon_{j_h}^{-f_h} = (\sigma(\epsilon_1))^{-f_1} \cdots (\sigma(\epsilon_h))^{-f_h}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(\psi(\sigma)(x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2} \cdots y_h^{f_h})) \\ &= \chi(x_{i_1}^{e_1} x_{i_2}^{e_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{e_n} y_{j_1}^{f_1} y_{j_2}^{f_2} \cdots y_{j_h}^{f_h}) \\ &= \epsilon_{j_1}^{-f_1} \epsilon_{j_2}^{-f_2} \cdots \epsilon_{j_h}^{-f_h} ((-1)^{e_1} + \cdots + (-1)^{e_n}) \quad \text{when } n \mid f_1 + \cdots + f_h \\ &= 0 \quad \text{when } n \nmid f_1 + \cdots + f_h \\ &= (\sigma(\epsilon_1))^{-f_1} \cdots (\sigma(\epsilon_h))^{-f_h} ((-1)^{e_1} + \cdots + (-1)^{e_n}) \quad \text{when } n \mid f_1 + \cdots + f_h \\ &= 0 \quad \text{when } n \nmid f_1 + \cdots + f_h \\ &= \sigma(\chi(x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2} \cdots y_h^{f_h})). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to be shown that $(K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)} \cong (K, \alpha)$ as k -algebras. Let

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &= 2^{-1}(1 - x_i), \\ \hat{K} &= \{(az_1 + u(a)z_2 + \cdots + u^{n-1}(a)z_n)e \mid a \in K\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{u} = |\pi|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \pi} \sigma(\beta^{-1}) y_{i_\sigma}^{-1} e$, where i_σ is defined by $\sigma(\beta) = \beta_{\epsilon_{i_\sigma}}$. Then if $\sigma \in \pi_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\sigma)((az_1 + u(a)z_2 + \cdots + u^{n-1}(a)z_n)e) \\ &= (az_1 + u(a)z_2 + \cdots + u^{n-1}(a)z_n)e, \end{aligned}$$

and if $\sigma\pi_2 = u$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\sigma)((az_1 + u(a)z_2 + \cdots + u^{n-1}(a)z_n)e) \\ &= (u(a)z_2 + u^2(a)z_3 + \cdots + u^n(a)z_1)e \\ &= (az_1 + u(a)z_2 + \cdots + u^{n-1}(a)z_n)e, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\hat{K} \subseteq (K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)}$. For each $\tau \in \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\tau)(\hat{u}) \\ &= |\pi|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \pi} \tau(\sigma(\beta^{-1})) \psi(\tau)(y_{i_\sigma}^{-1} e) \\ &= |\pi|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \pi} \tau\sigma(\beta^{-1}) y_{i_{\tau\sigma}}^{-1} e \\ &= |\pi|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \pi} \sigma(\beta^{-1}) y_{i_\sigma}^{-1} e \\ &= \hat{u}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\tau\sigma(\beta) = \tau(\beta\epsilon_{i_\sigma}) = \beta\epsilon_{i_{\tau\sigma}}$ means $\psi(\tau)(y_{i_\sigma}) = y_{i_{\tau\sigma}}$. Therefore $\hat{u} \in (K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)}$.

On the other hand,

$$\rho(\hat{K}) = \{\text{diag}\{a, u(a), \dots, u^{n-1}(a)\} \mid a \in K\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\hat{u}) &:= |\pi|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \pi} \beta^{-1} \epsilon_{i_\sigma}^{-1} (\epsilon_{i_\sigma} J) \\ &= \beta^{-1} J. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\beta^{-1}J)^n = \beta^{-n}I = \alpha I$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta^{-1}J)^{-1} \text{diag}\{a, u(a), \dots, u^{n-1}(a)\} \beta^{-1}J \\ &= \text{diag}\{u(a), \dots, u^{n-1}(a), a\}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\hat{K} \cong K$ by the correspondence $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^i(a) z_{i+1})e \leftrightarrow a$ and $\hat{K} \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u} \vdash \dots \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u}^{n-1} \cong (K, \alpha)$ by the correspondence $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^i(a) z_{i+1})e \leftrightarrow a$, $\hat{u} \leftrightarrow u$. Accordingly $\dim_k(\hat{K} \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u} \vdash \dots \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u}^{n-1}) = \dim_k(K, \alpha) = n^2 = \dim_k(K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)}$, which implies

$$\hat{K} \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u} \vdash \dots \vdash \hat{K}\hat{u}^{n-1} = (K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)}.$$

Thus $(K(\beta, \epsilon)Ge)^{\psi(\pi)} \cong (K, \alpha)$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

We have, in the commutative case,

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let L_1, L_2, \dots, L_t be finite extensions of k . Then there exists a finite-dimensional commutative cocommutative k -Hopf algebra H of which $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_t$ is a direct summand as a k -algebra.*

Proof. Let K be a finite Galois extension of k containing L_1, \dots, L_t . Let $\pi = \text{Gal}(K/k)$, $\pi_i = \text{Gal}(K/L_i)$ and $\pi/\pi_i = \{\tau_0^{(i)}\pi_i, \tau_1^{(i)}\pi_i, \dots, \tau_{n_i}^{(i)}\pi_i\}$ with $\tau_0^{(i)} = 1$. We denote by G the elementary Abelian 2-group generated by $x_j^{(i)}$, $0 \leq i \leq t$, $0 \leq j \leq n_i$ with relations $(x_j^{(i)})^2 = 1$, $x_j^{(i)}x_l^{(k)} = x_l^{(k)}x_j^{(i)}$ for all $0 \leq i, k \leq t$, $0 \leq j \leq n_i$, $0 \leq l \leq n_k$. For $\sigma \in \pi$ we define $\psi(\sigma)(x_j^{(i)}) = x_k^{(i)}$ if $\sigma\tau_j^{(i)}\pi_i = \tau_k^{(i)}\pi_i$. As is seen easily, ψ is a group homomorphism of π to $\text{Aut } G$ and $D_i := \{x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{n_i}^{(i)}\}$ is a $\psi(\pi)$ -orbit of G . Let $H = \text{Hom}_k(KG^{\psi(\pi)}, k)$. From (1.3) $KG^{\psi(\pi)}$ is a commutative cocommutative k -Hopf algebra, which implies H is a commutative cocommutative k -Hopf algebra. Further, by (1.5), $(KD_1^{\psi(\pi)})^* \oplus \dots \oplus (KD_t^{\psi(\pi)})^*$ is a direct summand of H as a k -algebra, and $(KD_i^{\psi(\pi)})^* \cong K^{\pi_i} = L_i$, because $\{\tau \in \pi \mid \psi(\tau)(x_0^{(i)}) = x_0^{(i)}\} = \{\tau \in \pi \mid \tau\pi_i = \pi_i\} = \pi_i$.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. ALBERT, "Structure of Algebras," Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. XXIV, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1939.
2. M. E. SWEEDLER, "Hopf Algebras," Benjamin, New York, 1969.
3. T. YAMADA, "The Schur Subgroup of the Brauer Group," *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 397, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
4. R. G. LARSON, Characters of Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* 17 (1971), 352-368.